

Calvin Howell

From: adamssac@wmconnect.com
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2003 9:40 PM
To: Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; ECFS
Cc: adamssac@wmconnect.com; senator@inouye.senate.gov; john_kerry@kerry.senate.gov;
senator@breaux.senate.gov; senator@rockefeller.senate.gov; senator@dorgan.senate.gov;
senator@boxer.senate.gov; senator_carnahan@camahan.senate.gov;
conrad_burns@burns.senate.gov; senatorlott@lott.senate.gov;
senator@hutchison.senate.gov; olympia@snowe.senate.gov; oregon@gsmith.senate.gov;
tellupton@mail.house.gov; christopher.cox@mail.house.gov; ask.heather@mail.house.gov;
vito.fossella@mail.house.gov; blunt@mail.house.gov; tom.davis@mail.house.gov;
ehrich@mail.house.gov; talk2lee@mail.house.gov; ninthnet@mail.house.gov
Subject: Universal Service Fee Complaint

RECEIVED

JAN - 6 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>11/20/03
<NAME>Susan Adams
<ADDRESS1>6302 Parkway Avenue
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Columbus
<STATE>GA
<ZIP>31909
<LAW-FIRM>n/a
<ATTORNEY>n/a
<FILE-NUMBER>n/a
<DOCUMENT-TYPE>CO
<PHONE-NUMBER>706/561-0439
<DESCRIPTION>Universal Service Fund Complaint <CONTACT-EMAIL>adamssac@wmconnect.com
<TEXT>

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Room 8B201
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1000 phone

Chairman Michael K. Powell
Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Commissioner Kevin J. Martin

Reference: FCC Docket Nos 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170 and NSD
File No. L-00-72.

Dear FCC:

I am writing to complain about the proposed changes to the Universal Service Fund and requesting that the FCC investigate this matter further before changing the current policy. Your proposed \$1.00 per month charge for all wireless phones will directly impact my ability to retain my wireless service.

I do not think it is fair to charge EVERYBODY \$1.00 dollar regardless of how they use their wireless phone, especially for a low-volume user that relies on wireless service for safety and security, not interstate calls. The current policy is fair, based on interstate usage, and should be left alone. Please do not penalize us. Keep this fair.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. Please provide a written response indicating the status/resolution of this matter.

Very truly yours,

Susan Adams
6302 Parkway Avenue

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

96-45

Stephanie Kost

From: atwater@mail.riverview.net
Sent: Thursday, December 18, 2003 5:52 PM
To: Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; ECFS
Cc: atwater@mail.riverview.net; senator@inouye.senate.gov; john_kerry@kerry.senate.gov; senator@breaux.senate.gov; senator@rockefeller.senate.gov; senator@dorgan.senate.gov; senator@boxer.senate.gov; senator_carnahan@carnahan.senate.gov; conrad_burns@burns.senate.gov; senatorlott@lott.senate.gov; senator@hutchison.senate.gov; olympia@snowe.senate.gov; oregon@gsmith.senate.gov; tellupton@mail.house.gov; christopher.cox@mail.house.gov; ask.heather@mail.house.gov; vito.fossella@mail.house.gov; blunt@mail.house.gov; tom.davis@mail.house.gov; ehrlich@mail.house.gov; talk2lee@mail.house.gov; ninthnet@mail.house.gov
Subject: Universal Service Fee Complaint

RECEIVED

JAN - 6 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>12/18/03
<NAME>Linda Atwater
<ADDRESS1>5974 E. 28th St.
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>White cloud
<STATE>MI
<ZIP>49349
<LAW-FIRM>n/a
<ATTORNEY>n/a
<FILE-NUMBER>n/a
<DOCUMENT-TYPE>CO
<PHONE-NUMBER>231-689-6846
<DESCRIPTION>Universal Service Fund Complaint <CONTACT-EMAIL>atwater@mail.riverview.net
<TEXT>
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Room 8B201
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1000 phone
Chairman Michael K. Powell
Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Commissioner Kevin J. Martin

Reference: FCC Docket Nos 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170 and NSD File No. L-00-72.

Dear FCC:

I am writing to complain about the proposed changes to the Universal Service Fund and requesting that the FCC investigate this matter further before changing the current policy. Your proposed \$1.00 per month charge for all wireless phones will directly impact my ability to retain my wireless service.

I do not think it is fair to charge EVERYBODY \$1.00 dollar regardless of how they use their wireless phone, especially for a low-volume user that relies on wireless service for safety and security, not interstate calls. The current policy is fair, based on interstate usage, and should be left alone. Please do not penalize us. Keep this fair.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. Please provide a written response indicating the status/resolution of this matter.

Very truly yours,

Linda Atwater
5974 E. 28th St.

No. of Copies rec'd 0

CC: FCC Subcommittee Members

Calvin Howell

JAN - 6 2004

From: JSCIRCO@AOL.COM
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2003 10:07 AM
To: Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; ECFS
Cc: JSCIRCO@AOL.COM; senator@inouye.senate.gov; john_kerry@kerry.senate.gov;
 senator@breaux.senate.gov; senator@rockefeller.senate.gov; senator@dorgan.senate.gov;
 senator@boxer.senate.gov; senator_carnahan@camahan.senate.gov;
 conrad_burns@burns.senate.gov; senatorlott@lott.senate.gov;
 senator@hutchison.senate.gov; olympia@snowe.senate.gov; oregon@gsmith.senate.gov;
 tellupton@mail.house.gov; christopher.cox@mail.house.gov; ask.heather@mail.house.gov;
 vito.fossella@mail.house.gov; blunt@mail.house.gov; tom.davis@mail.house.gov;
 ehrlich@mail.house.gov; talk2lee@mail.house.gov; ninthnet@mail.house.gov
Subject: Universal Service Fee Complaint

<PROCEEDING>96-45
 <DATE>11/11/03
 <NAME>JOANNE S. CIRCO
 <ADDRESS1>20 COLERIDGE ROAD
 <ADDRESS2>
 <CITY>HOLBROOK
 <STATE>NY
 <ZIP>11741
 <LAW-FIRM>n/a
 <ATTORNEY>n/a
 <FILE-NUMBER>n/a
 <DOCUMENT-TYPE>CO
 <PHONE-NUMBER>631-585-2114
 <DESCRIPTION>Universal Service Fund Complaint <CONTACT-EMAIL>JSCIRCO@AOL.COM <TEXT>
 Federal Communications Commission
 445 12th Street, SW
 Room 8B201
 Washington, D.C. 20554
 (202) 418-1000 phone
 Chairman Michael K. Powell
 Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
 Commissioner Michael J. Copps
 Commissioner Kevin J. Martin

Reference: FCC Docket Nos 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170 and NSD File No. L-00-72.

Dear FCC:

I am writing to complain about the proposed changes to the Universal Service Fund and requesting that the FCC investigate this matter further before changing the current policy. Your proposed \$1.00 per month charge for all wireless phones will directly impact my ability to retain my wireless service.

I do not think it is fair to charge EVERYBODY \$1.00 dollar regardless of how they use their wireless phone, especially for a low-volume user that relies on wireless service for safety and security, not interstate calls. The current policy is fair, based on interstate usage, and should be left alone. Please do not penalize us. Keep this fair.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. Please provide a written response indicating the status/resolution of this matter.

Very truly yours,

JOANNE S. CIRCO
20 COLERIDGE ROAD

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

CC: FCC Subcommittee Members

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Calvin Howell

From: Andrew Henderson [andrewhenderson@fusemail.com]
Sent: Friday, November 07, 2003 7:29 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: USF Changes are Wrong

RECEIVED

Andrew Henderson
1655 E University Dr, Ste 3042
Tempe, AZ 85281-8498

JAN - 6 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

November 7, 2003

Federal Communications Commission Chair Michael Powell
FCC
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Federal Communications Commission Chair Powell:

CC Docket Nos 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170 and
NSD File No. L-00-72.

I am opposed to the proposed changes to the Universal Service Fund. I urge the FCC to carefully consider the impact of these changes on consumers before changing the current system. Charging \$1 or more per month regardless of how much or how little we use our phone is not fair. This will greatly increase the cost of phone service and it could impact the ability for myself and others to afford landline and/or wireless service.

The USF was created to make phone service affordable in rural America and was updated to increase the availability of communication services to schools, libraries, rural health centers, educational institutions and low-income individuals in the United States. Now you want to change it and I do not think it is fair to charge everybody \$1 dollar per month regardless of how much or how little they use their wireless phone for interstate calls.

The proposed change is especially unfair for low-volume users that rely on wireless service for safety and security, and who make few, if any, long distance calls. A contribution system is fair, equitable and nondiscriminatory and should be left alone. Please do not penalize wireless phone customers. Keep this fair. We don't have a blanket income tax on our annual salaries nor do we have the same sales tax on a pack of gum and an automobile, so why should there be a "one size fits all" charge for wireless phones?

Sincerely,

Andrew Henderson

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

Calvin Howell

From: Athan Manuel [ariadnevan@mindspring.com]
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 2:50 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: USF Changes Concern Me

RECEIVED

JAN - 6 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Athan Manuel
3803 Alton Place, Nw
Washington, DC 20016-2207

November 10, 2003

Federal Communications Commission Chair Michael Powell
FCC
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Federal Communications Commission Chair Powell:

CC Docket Nos 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170 and
NSD File No. L-00-72.

I am opposed to the proposed changes to the Universal Service Fund. I urge the FCC to carefully consider the impact of these changes on consumers before changing the current system. Charging \$1 or more per month regardless of how much or how little we use our phone is not fair. This will greatly increase the cost of phone service and it could impact the ability for myself and others to afford landline and/or wireless service.

The USF was created to make phone service affordable in rural America and was updated to increase the availability of communication services to schools, libraries, rural health centers, educational institutions and low-income individuals in the United States. Now you want to change it and I do not think it is fair to charge everybody \$1 dollar per month regardless of how much or how little they use their wireless phone for interstate calls.

The proposed change is especially unfair for low-volume users that rely on wireless service for safety and security, and who make few, if any, long distance calls. A contribution system is fair, equitable and nondiscriminatory and should be left alone. Please do not penalize wireless phone customers. Keep this fair. We don't have a blanket income tax on our annual salaries nor do we have the same sales tax on a pack of gum and an automobile, so why should there be a "one size fits all" charge for wireless phones?

Sincerely,

Athan Manuel

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE